

LADL: Logic As Distributive Law

Mike Stay
Greg Meredith

Curry–Howard Isomorphism

PROPOSITIONS ARE TYPES

Implicational Intuitionistic Logic

Axiom schemata:

$$S: (Z \Rightarrow Y \Rightarrow X) \Rightarrow (Z \Rightarrow Y) \Rightarrow (Z) \Rightarrow X$$

$$K: X \Rightarrow Y \Rightarrow X$$

Rules:

Modus ponens:

$$\frac{X \Rightarrow Y \quad X}{Y}$$

SK combinators

ECMAScript 6:

// S: $(Z \Rightarrow Y \Rightarrow X) \Rightarrow (Z \Rightarrow Y) \Rightarrow (Z) \Rightarrow X$

S = a => b => c => a (c) (b (c))

// K: $X \Rightarrow Y \Rightarrow X$

K = a => b => a

Type guards

(ES6)

```
let num = (n) => {  
  if ('number' === typeof (n)) {  
    return n;  
  }  
  throw new TypeError('Expected a number');  
};
```

Arrow type guards

(ES6)

`const arrow = (A, B) =>`

`(f) =>`

`(a) => B(f(A(a)));`

Set builder notation

$$\{ n \mid \text{'number'} === \text{typeof } n \}$$
$$\{ f \mid \forall a:A. f(a):B \}$$

Comprehensions

(Python)

```
[n for n in list if type(n) is int]
```


Wadler: Comprehending monads

$[x] = \text{return } x$

$[f(x) \mid x \leftarrow X] = \text{fmap } f X$

$[y \mid x \leftarrow X, y \leftarrow Y(x)] = \text{join} . Y$

Collections as interfaces/monads/Lawvere theories

(Lawvere theory)

Th(FinSet)

- Sorts: S, A
- Function symbols:
 - Atom: $A \rightarrow S$
 - Empty: $1 \rightarrow S$
 - Union: $S \times S \rightarrow S$
- Equations:
 - Unit law: $\text{Union}(\text{Empty}, s) = s = \text{Union}(s, \text{Empty})$
 - Associative: $\text{Union}(\text{Union}(a, b), c) = \text{Union}(a, \text{Union}(b, c))$
 - Commutative: $\text{Union}(a, b) = \text{Union}(b, a)$

Programming languages as Lawvere theories

(Lawvere theory)

Th(SK)

- Sorts: T
- Function symbols:
 - $s, t: T \rightarrow T$
 - $S, K: T$
 - $(- -): T^2 \rightarrow T$
 - $\sigma: T^3 \rightarrow T$
 - $\kappa: T^2 \rightarrow T$
- Equations
 - $s \circ s = s \circ t = s$
 - $t \circ t = t \circ s = t$
 - $(s \circ \sigma)(x, y, z) = (((S x) y) z)$
 - $(t \circ \sigma)(x, y, z) = ((x z) (y z))$
 - $(s \circ \kappa)(x, y) = ((K x) y)$
 - $(t \circ \kappa)(x, y, z) = x$

Structural types

(TypeScript)

```
{ "name": "Joe Schmoe", "age": 43, "address": {  
  "number": 123, "street": "Some Ave."} }
```

is of the type

```
{ "name": string, "age": number, "address": {  
  "number": number, "street": string} }
```

Sum of Lawvere theories

1. Identify sorts
2. Union function symbols
3. Union equations

Example

Th(Monoid)

- Sorts: M
- Function symbols:
 - $I: 1 \rightarrow M$
 - $\otimes: M^2 \rightarrow M$
- Equations
 - Unit: $I \otimes x = x = x \otimes I$
 - Assoc: $(a \otimes b) \otimes c = a \otimes (b \otimes c)$

Th(PA)

- Sorts: N
- Function symbols:
 - $z: 1 \rightarrow N$
 - $s: N \rightarrow N$
- No equations

Example

Th(Monoid+PA)

- Sorts: T
- Function symbols:
 - $l: 1 \rightarrow T$ // empty list
 - $\otimes: T^2 \rightarrow T$ // concat
 - $z: 1 \rightarrow T$ // list containing zero
 - $s: T \rightarrow T$ // successor
- Equations
 - Unit: $l \otimes x = x = x \otimes l$
 - Assoc: $(a \otimes b) \otimes c = a \otimes (b \otimes c)$

Example

sss[z, ss[z, sz], s[]]

Collections of terms

1. Union sorts
2. Union function symbols
3. Union equations
4. Add function symbol from terms to collections

Example

Th(Monoid \circ PA)

- Sorts: M, N
- Function symbols:
 - $l: 1 \rightarrow M$ // empty list
 - $\otimes: M^2 \rightarrow M$ // concat
 - $z: 1 \rightarrow N$ // zero
 - $s: N \rightarrow N$ // successor
 - $[\]: N \rightarrow M$ // return
- Equations
 - Unit: $l \otimes x = x = x \otimes l$
 - Assoc: $(a \otimes b) \otimes c = a \otimes (b \otimes c)$

Example

$[SSSZ, SZ, Z] // = [3, 1, 0]$

Interpretation

Structural Type \Rightarrow Collection of terms

Sum of theories, revisited

$$(T \amalg C)X =$$

$$X +$$

$$(TX + CX) +$$

$$(TTX + TCX + CTX + CCX) +$$

$$(TTTX + \dots) +$$

...

Distributive law

$$\delta: TC \Rightarrow CT$$

Think of terms as products, collections as sums.

Product of Sums \Rightarrow Sum of Products

Interpretation

Structural Type \Rightarrow Collection of terms

$\text{TTCCTX} \Rightarrow \text{TCTX} \Rightarrow \text{CTTX} \Rightarrow \text{CTX}$

Distributive Law doesn't always exist

Use lists for collections, SKI calculus for terms.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Kw[x,y,z] & \xrightarrow{\delta} & [Kwx, Kwy, Kwz] \\ \downarrow \kappa & & \downarrow \kappa \\ w & \xrightarrow{\delta} & [w] \neq [w, w, w] \end{array}$$

Lists are "linear" in the sense that they count uses.

Sets aren't: $\{w\} = \{w, w, w\}$. So δ exists for SKI when $C=\text{Sets}$ but not when $C=\text{Lists}$

Example: Primes

$$\neg I \wedge \neg((p \wedge \neg I) \otimes (q \wedge \neg I))$$

Modalities

$$\diamond A = \{ s(a) \mid \exists a. t(a): A \}$$

$$A \langle K \rangle B = \{ t \mid \exists u:A, v:B, w. s(w) = K(t, u) \wedge t(w) = v \}$$

Application gives arrow types: $A \langle (- -) \rangle B = A \Rightarrow B$

Par gives Caires' rely-guarantee types: $A \langle - | - \rangle B = A \triangleright B$

Modalities for security

$$\neg \diamond \exists x. (x!(*\text{dontLeak}) \mid (P \wedge \neg \text{Nil}))$$